



# THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

## EXECUTIVE BOARD MINUTES

November 4, 1976

Marriott Hotel - Tucson, Arizona

Members Present:

Ronald L. Akers	James A. Inciardi
Harry E. Allen	C. Ray Jeffery
William E. Amos	Elmer Johnson
Duncan Chappell	Nicholas Kittrie
Alvin W. Cohn	Donal MacNamara
Bernard Diamond	June Morrison
Edith Flynn	Charles Newman
Paul C. Friday	William H. Parsonage
Gilbert Geis	Joseph E. Scott
Don Gottfredson	Terence P. Thornberry

Guests Present :

John P. Conrad	Sue Titus Reid
Ivan Paur	Leo Chall

President Gilbert Geis called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, November 4, 1976.

A motion was made by Donal MacNamara and seconded by Duncan Chappell that the minutes of the June 25-26, 1976, Columbus, Ohio, Executive Board meeting be approved as they stand. Motion carried.

Bill Parsonage suggested that a greater effort should be made in the continuity of selecting hotel sites and cities for the Annual Meeting. William Amos agreed that the Selection Committee needed to work further in advance for planning annual meetings.

### TREASURER'S REPORT (Attachment A)

Harry Allen called the members attention to the circulated report and particularly to the new expenses incurred by the Society, including the newsletter and the administrative assistant's position. He reported that the growth of the Society had been substantial and the total number of members at the present time was 2,255. Nicholas Kittrie inquired about the additional expenses incurred by the Society and whether the additional revenue generated by the new members would cover necessary additional expenses. He also inquired as to whether the Society could anticipate the continued growth in the next year that it has experienced in the last two years. Professor Allen indicated that he anticipated a similar rate of growth for at least the next year.

TREASURER'S REPORT (continued)

A motion was made by Nicholas Kittrie and seconded by Donal MacNamara that the Treasurer's Report be accepted. Motion carried.

ASC ELECTION PROCEDURES

Joseph E. Scott circulated to the Board members present a report on the ASC election procedures. The report indicated the number of ballots circulated in July, the number returned by October 15, and the procedures utilized in tallying the ballots. In addition, the report indicated the procedures utilized in preparing the run-off ballot and the candidates in the run-off ballot. The candidates in the run-off ballot for Vice President were: Don Gottfredson and Barbara Price. The candidates in the run-off ballot for Executive Counselor were: Duncan Chappell, James Hackler and Alvin Cohn.

The run-off ballots were counted in Tucson on November 3, and the winners for the ASC officers were:

President-Elect : C. Ray Jeffery  
Vice President : Don Gottfredson  
Executive Counselors: James Hackler and Duncan Chappell.

Scott went on to indicate the problems with the Constitution and the ASC balloting procedure. Namely, the Constitution requires a majority of the voting members for an individual to be elected to an ASC office. The problems with this are obvious when an election is close and when there are more than two people running for the same position. Because of the extraordinary expenses associated with run-off ballots, Scott suggested the Constitutional Review Committee seriously consider modifying the Constitution, providing that those running for office receiving a plurality of votes be designated as winners of future elections. He pointed out in addition that in a close election involving only two people it is conceivable that neither would receive a majority of the ballots cast because of the sizable number of write-in candidates.

Ron Akers suggested that the Society should not revise the Constitution without careful consideration and should avoid whimsical revisions for minor problems. Nicholas Kittrie admonished self-restraint in modifying the Constitution. Elmer Johnson also commented that the Constitution should be changed only if administrative procedures could not compensate for needed changes in election procedures.

Gilbert Geis also commented that the Constitutional Review Committee should carefully examine alternatives to modifying the Constitution. He specifically suggested that the Board should adopt the policy of not nominating more than two people per office to minimize the need for future run-off ballots.

Scott raised the question as to whose responsibility it was to notify winning and losing candidates for ASC offices. President Geis indicated that it should be the Secretary's job to notify those running for ASC offices as soon as the results are known.

AWARDS PROCEDURES

Scott reported that the winner of the August Vollmer Award, Patricia Wald, would be unable to attend the meeting to receive her award. He raised the question as to the official Board policy in conveying awards for those not in attendance. He pointed out that past Board policy had been that those not in attendance would not receive the award for which they had been selected to receive. He also mentioned, however, that this policy was rather embarrassing to the Society and that it should be once again considered at this time. William Amos suggested that an individual selected to receive an award for the Society, whether they are able to attend or not, should receive it.

A motion was made by William Amos and seconded by Alvin Cohn that the Society should adopt the policy of giving those individuals selected to receive an award the award in proxy if they are unable to attend. Motion carried.

Donal MacNamara indicated that most large organizations follow this policy. He indicated that it would be appropriate for an award recipient unable to attend to have some one stand in for them to receive the award. Amos indicated that it was belittling to the individual and the Society not to give the award simply because the recipient was unable to attend. Bill Parsonage indicated he felt the recipient should be encouraged to attend the meeting because part of the justification in giving awards is for members to become acquainted with people doing outstanding work in the field of criminology. Alvin Cohn indicated he felt the Society should even be willing to assist recipients financially to attend the Society meeting if necessary in order to have them present.

The decision was made that the President of the Society should notify award recipients in the future. Amos indicated he would check with Patricia Wald as to whom she would like to stand in for her to receive the August Vollmer Award at the meeting in Tucson. Scott inquired as to whom should be responsible for buying the awards for future recipients. President Geis indicated it was the Treasurer's responsibility to buy the awards for the Society.

REPORT OF EDITORS OF CRIMINOLOGY

Donal MacNamara reported that the next issue of CRIMINOLOGY is in the galleys. The following issues will be on Corrections and is also scheduled to be published as a monograph by Sage Publishing Company. Sage has indicated it should generate some additional revenue for the Society. MacNamara indicated that the journal is still receiving primarily quantitative articles and that he and Edward Sagarin would appreciate more scholarly qualitative articles to balance the orientation of the journal. There is still approximately a one year wait for publication after articles have been accepted. The policy the editors have taken is to indicate this lag period after their papers have been accepted. MacNamara reported that the policy had been adopted of requesting many authors of articles accepted for publication to shorten them to the size of a research note to expedite the publication of material. One of the reasons for this he indicated is the fact that authors were quite often anxious for their work to appear in print as soon as possible.

MacNamara indicated that he had read the report of the Publications Committee and agreed with it totally. He also reported that the submission rate for articles was averaging one or two a day. All of these are not of a high quality however, and many are simply returned to their respective authors before being distributed to referees.

REPORT OF EDITORS OF CRIMINOLOGY (continued)

Alvin Cohn suggested that the CRIMINOLOGY editors could run a notification in THE CRIMINOLOGIST about the types of articles they were anxious to receive. MacNamara indicated they were planning to continue the policy of inviting eminent criminologists to write editorials for the journal. He felt this practice added a dimension to the journal. He also indicated that the policy of referees suggesting alternative publication sources for articles not acceptable for publication in CRIMINOLOGY was helpful to authors and an excellent practice. The question was raised by Charles Newman as to the percentage of articles presently being accepted for publication. MacNamara indicated that approximately one in five articles was presently being accepted for publication in the journal.

PUBLICATION DATE SHIFT ON THE CRIMINOLOGIST

Alvin Cohn reported that he was not totally satisfied with the physical dimension of THE CRIMINOLOGIST. However, he indicated that most people seem to feel the physical dimensions of the publication were acceptable. He reported that he received very little correspondence from Board members concerning information or announcements to be printed in the newsletter. He would appreciate receiving copies of the various committee reports as well as any other information of interest or relevant to ASC members. He also suggested that the final program next year be included in THE CRIMINOLOGIST to minimize the expenses in sending out copies to members. Cohn indicated he felt he needed Board approval to change the publication dates for THE CRIMINOLOGIST. He suggested that the publication dates be: July, October, January and April. Charles Newman indicated that there was no justification in changing the publication dates of THE CRIMINOLOGIST simply to facilitate a call for papers for next year's meeting or any year's meeting, for that matter. President Geis asked whether that was the main justification for the change in the publication dates in THE CRIMINOLOGIST. Cohn indicated that was not the case but rather by changing the dates of the publication of the newsletter it would match ASC membership dates. He also indicated that by changing the publication dates, the final program for the Annual Meeting could be printed in the newsletter at a savings of perhaps \$300-500 per year for the Society. Cohn indicated that he was receiving calls from members as late as yesterday concerning the composition of the program and members' participation in it. He indicated members could know more about their participation in the meeting if the program was printed earlier in THE CRIMINOLOGIST.

Cohn made the motion that the publication dates of THE CRIMINOLOGIST be changed beginning with Volume 2, No. 1, to be printed in July of 1977 and additional publication dates to be October, January and April. Donal MacNamara seconded the motion; motion carried.

REPORT OF ASC PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE

James Inciardi reported that the Society presently had a contract from Sage Publications to print the proceedings of the Annual Meeting. He indicated that the royalty rates were still under negotiation but a final agreement should be made before the Board meeting on Saturday. He indicated that he would report at that time the royalty rates that Sage would agree to pay the Society. One of the reasons for considering the change of publishing the proceedings from Praeger to Sage was the transition that Praeger was going through in being sold, as well as the delay

REPORT OF ASC PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE (continued)

that the Society had encumbered in printing the annual proceedings. Inciardi indicated that papers were often outdated by the time they were presented at the Annual Meeting. By the time they were then printed in the proceedings, often two-to three years later, they were of little value to members or to people buying the books. Ron Akers indicated that he often received inquiries from members when the volumes from previous meetings would be published. He suggested that such information should be printed in THE CRIMINOLOGIST as well as information publishing volumes already available. Donal MacNamara pointed out that the proceedings would be of considerably more value to members if they were edited more closely. He suggested that those editing future volumes of the Society write transitions for connecting articles and edit papers more carefully in order that volumes might be adopted for classroom use. This would facilitate more adoptions and purchases of the volumes and generate additional revenue for the Society.

PROGRAM PERSONS FROM LOCAL AREAS

President Geis expressed his appreciation and that of the Board and Society to June Morrison for handling the Local Arrangements for the Tucson meeting. He also requested that the Society Secretary be authorized to write the Dean, Academic Vice President and Chancellor of Purdue and the University of California/Irvine expressing the Society's appreciation on work that Ronald Huff and Robert Meier had done in preparing the program for the ASC Annual Meeting in Tucson. Geis suggested that a mail-a-gram be sent to Ron Huff on behalf of the Executive Board wishing him a quick recovery from his back surgery and extending the Board's appreciation to him for the excellent job he had done in preparing the program in conjunction with Bob for the Annual Meeting. Joseph Scott was designated to send the mail-a-gram to Ron on behalf of the Board.

June Morrison reported that Bob Keldgord of the Pima County Adult Probation Department had assisted her equally in working out the details for the Local Arrangements. The Board extended their appreciation to Bob for the work he had done in this regard.

Alvin Cohn suggested that the President-Elect each year select the chairperson for the Annual Meeting as soon as possible. This would allow the chairperson two years in advance to select a team for the meeting and for the two (President-Elect and Program Chairperson) to coordinate the meeting activities. In addition, the Local Arrangements Committee should be selected by the Program Chairperson and President-Elect in order that these people may work as a team to facilitate and coordinate the Annual Meeting. The Local Arrangements Committee would then be responsible to the Program Chairperson rather than to a previous President or to the Board. Otherwise, he pointed out, it was conceivable to have a Chairperson and the President-Elect and Local Arrangements Committee at odds with one another and minimal coordination of the annual program.

Cohn made a motion that the Board adopt the policy that the President-Elect, as soon as possible after his election, name the Program Chairperson and the Local Arrangements Committee. President Geis indicated that he felt this was more an advisory statement rather than a motion. He said he agreed with the substance of what Cohn had said but that the Board had generally followed this policy in the past and that he did not like to make this a definite fixed policy but rather an advisory statement that the Board and Society should adopt to follow.

PROGRAM PERSONS FROM LOCAL AREAS (continued)

William Amos indicated he felt it wise for President-Elects to select more than one Program Chairperson because of the possibility of accidents and what this would do to the program. He pointed out that he had selected three individuals to head up subsections of the program for the 1977 year. President Geis indicated that if he had only had one Program Chairperson this year and that would have been Ron Huff, there would have been major adjustment problems given Huff's recent surgery.

REPORT OF ASC MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE (Attachment B)

Harry E. Allen circulated the Membership Committee Report.

Alvin Cohn made a motion that the Membership Committee Report be accepted. Joseph Scott seconded the motion; motion carried.

President Geis congratulated Harry E. Allen on an outstanding job in heading up the membership drive.

Cohn inquired as to whether the Society knew which members were not renewing their membership and whether it could find out who they were if we did not know. Allen reported that a list of members not renewing their memberships was available at the home office. Allen indicated that he did not feel ASC should continue to solicit membership from those who had not paid their dues for two consecutive years. Specifically, he indicated the Treasurer should not continue to send dues statements to those who have not paid their dues after their membership had expired for two years. President Geis felt this was within the discretion of the Treasurer to adopt this policy without Board approval.

REPORT ON THE BERKELEY SCHOOL OF CRIMINOLOGY

Bernard Diamond gave a report to the Board on the state of the Berkeley School of Criminology. He reported that the school had gone out of existence as of July 1, 1976. The University of California, he said, agreed to honor the appointments for the tenured faculty at the school but to accept no responsibility for non-tenured faculty members. The tenured members of the School of Criminology's faculty had been picked up by other departments at Berkeley. The students in the school were given one more year to finish their degrees. Barry Krisberg and Shelly Messinger were asked to work one more year with the school in assisting the students to finish their respective degrees. The Law and Society Program at the Berkeley campus had changed its name to Jurisprudence and Social Policy. Jerome Skolnick is the director of the program. Diamond reported the Law School would have more non-lawyer faculty members than any law school in the U.S. Students may now receive a Ph.D. in Jurisprudence and Social Science. They will be attending the Berkeley Law School but will not be required to take the LSAT and the orientation of the Jurisprudence and the Social Science curriculum has changed drastically from the previous curriculum in the School of Criminology.

The journal, ISSUES IN CRIMINOLOGY, formerly edited by the students in the Berkeley School of Criminology has been turned over to the group that publishes the radical journal of criminology.

REPORT OF ASC PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE (Attachment C)

James Inciardi indicated that the publications policy as set forth in the statement distributed was correct with the exception of one error. The error dealt with #5, where the co-editor of the series would be the Chairperson of Publications. He indicated he did not want to usurp the Executive Boards' right to override any major publication decisions made by the co-editors of the series. The Board always has the right and the final word on any such major matters dealing with the Society.

Edith Flynn moved to accept the report as submitted with the minor modification by Dr. Inciardi. Donal MacNamara seconded the motion; motion carried.

Inciardi reported that a contract had been negotiated with Sage Publications for publishing the annual proceedings of the Society. The only detail left to be determined was the percentage the Society would receive as royalties from the sale of the books. In the contract as presently held, 10% is indicated as the amount of royalties the Society would receive. Inciardi indicated that 15% was the common amount and that this matter had to be resolved before the closing of the meeting in Tucson. Paul Friday inquired as to how the change in publishers for the annual proceedings affected previous contracts dealing with 1974 and 1975 series. He reported he had been unable to get any response from Praeger as to what was happening with the 1975 series. President Geis reported that he had not signed the contract for the 1975 series with Praeger as yet. He reported that Arnold Trebach was to have had Praeger send him a copy of the contract months ago but he had heard nothing more about the matter. President Geis indicated that he would invite Arnold Trebach to the Saturday Board meeting to report on the 1975 issues.

The third issue brought up by Inciardi dealt with the selection of editors for CRIMINOLOGY: An Interdisciplinary Journal. He reported that since the announcement in THE CRIMINOLOGIST asking for interest on the part of universities or organizations willing to house the journal, he had received only one inquiry. That inquiry was from a graduate student looking for a summer job. Inciardi reported that he and Frank Scarpitti were interested in submitting a proposal for the editorship of the journal. He therefore suggested that another committee be authorized to look at the letters of interest and inquiries and be responsible for evaluating the respective responses received. President Geis indicated that there would be a new Publications Committee as of the Saturday Board meeting and that the matter should therefore not be dealt with at this time.

Charles Newman pointed out that when the editorship of the journal moved from Penn State to John Jay College, other offers for the journal editorship had been received from Albany and Battelle. He felt it would be appropriate at this time to invite members of those organizations to reconsider their interest in housing the journal. Inciardi was asked by President Geis to follow up on that matter.

Leo Chall, editor of Sociological Abstracts, was introduced to the Executive Board members by President Geis. Geis indicated that Mr. Chall had a proposal he wished to submit to the Executive Board for their consideration at this time. Mr. Chall reported that this was the 66th meeting that the Sociological Abstracts had covered. Specifically, this means the 66th edition of Sociological Abstracts which are distributed throughout the world to major libraries has been produced. He also indicated that the abstracts would shortly be automated for library utilization. Technology has reached the point where papers can be read in every library in the world at the present time. He suggested that papers be microfilmed and abstracted and be available on-line from a computer bank for retrieval by interested parties at various libraries or terminals around the country. If the Society is interested

in having their papers microfilmed for perusal by interested parties, there would be no cost to the Society, and Sociological Abstracts would, in fact, pay the Society 15% of the royalties they receive from this service. In other words, if ASC were to enter into a contract with Sociological Abstracts whereby they would arrange for the microfilming of papers presented at the Annual Meetings, they would then sell the rights to retrieve this information from their data base from participating libraries. The papers would be available on-line for examination in hard copy retrieval and participating libraries would pay Sociological Abstracts for this service. Fifteen percent of the revenue generated from these transactions would then be paid to the Society as royalties for this service.

Charles Newman suggested that a formal proposal be submitted to the Publications Committee by Mr. Chall for their consideration. He also inquired as to whether there would be copyright problems and whether there would be problems in printing the proceedings from the meeting if these same papers were available through a computer bank? Mr. Chall reported that the only problem in publishing the papers in some other form such as the proceedings or journal articles would be citing where the paper was first published; namely microfiche #------. The papers would be copyrighted, Mr. Chall reported, but permission would automatically be granted for reproduction rights. Geis pointed out that a potential problem was that many journals refuse to publish material that has appeared elsewhere. The problem therefore would be enormous for authors interested in publishing material presented at the meetings. Ron Akers indicated he felt that by automatically producing papers presented at meetings, journals did not view that as a publication and authors he felt would encounter no problems in publishing in a different outlet.

Alvin Cohn suggested that the Publications Committee needed to look at a contract. The problem might be one of Mr. Chall owning the copyrights and CRIMINOLOGY not having the right to reproduce articles presented at the meeting. He suggested that Mr. Chall contact various journals involved in publishing criminology-type articles and inquire formally what their policy would be if these articles they considered for publication were copyrighted by Sociological Abstracts and available through automated retrieval on microfiche. He suggested that after Mr. Chall had made this initial survey, he formalize the results for the Publications Committee to review and submit them with a formal contract for consideration.

#### NEW BUSINESS

William Amos gave an updated report on the Muenster meeting scheduled for 1977. He reported that Hans Schneider had contacted him the day he arrived in Tucson, and indicated he was in a bind to proceed with the meetings as earlier discussed. Hans indicated he had received some static from the international criminology people and that he was somewhat reluctant to proceed with the matter. Amos pointed out that Hans had agreed to host the Victimology Conference and it may be the case that this later meeting scheduled in 1977 would simply distract from his efforts in producing the Victimology Conference in 1978. Amos indicated he had scheduled a meeting for Friday morning with Hans, Paul Friday, Joseph Scott, Geoffrey Alpert and Gerhard Mueller to discuss the matter in more depth.

Charles Newman and Nicholas Kittrie both voiced concern about ASC agreeing to co-host meetings such as this in the future. Since international meetings are such sensitive matters, ASC should use great discretion in agreeing to host them with foreign countries where the Society loses control over the actual meeting. Kittrie reported that several people that belong to various international criminology associations were concerned about ASC invading their turf.




NEW BUSINESS (continued)

Ronald Akers indicated that this was his last meeting as Vice President of the Society and pointed out that the role of Vice President as presently constituted is somewhat at odds with other appointments. The President is elected one year in advance while the Vice President is elected to take over immediately. It might be wise he pointed out for the Constitutional Review Committee to consider electing the Vice President a year in advance also in order that the President-Elect has some designated help in arranging for the meeting in advance and coordinating local arrangements and other activities. Bill Amos agreed that it would be extremely helpful to have a Vice President-Elect to help and plan in coordinating meeting activities. President Geis also agreed that the Constitutional Review Committee should seriously consider this suggestion and report back to the Executive Board at their first meeting in 1977.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Joseph E. Scott  
Executive Secretary

JES:smh

Attachments

ATTACHMENT A-1

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

Treasurer's Report for

November 1, 1975 - October 31, 1976

Balance Sheet

Current Assets:

Cash; checking account	\$4,521.15
personnel account	2,036.20
Certificate of Deposit (6%)	6,000.00

TOTAL ASSETS: \$12,557.35

Net Worth:

October 31, 1975	\$18,236.49
(Deduct)	(5,679.14)

\$  
\$12,557.35

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

STATEMENT OF INCOME FOR

November 1, 1975 - October 31, 1976

Sources:

Dues	\$29,176.45
Services	263.86
Interest	704.80
Transfers	11,791.45*
Purchase of Directories	78.00
Royalties	2,936.19**
1975 Convention	680.29
1976 Convention	6,027.50
Total	<u>\$51,658.54</u>

\*\$11,000 of this amount represents Certificates of deposit, redeemed upon maturity.

\*\*Sage Publications has not yet rendered a final statement of royalties for the publication year ended 3/1/76, and has advanced \$2,000. against the total.

ATTACHMENT A-3

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

STATEMENT OF EXPENSES

November 1, 1975 - October 31, 1976

Line Items:

1975 Convention	\$1,147.67
1976 Convention	2,686.73
Ex. Brd. Travel, Exps.	3,745.97
CRIMINOLOGY	13,148.26
Newsletter(s)	1,157.36
Membership Drive	2,419.71*
Other Committees	335.35
Office Exps.	5,526.54
Postage Charges, fees	3,023.81
Telephone, Telegraph	810.06
Administrative Asst.	10,510.81
Travel, Ad. Asst.	608.15
Awards, Trps.	145.25
International Soc. Crm.	44.00
Misc.	3,438.48**
Dues Refunds	52.24
Bank Charges	95.77
	<u>\$48,898.16</u>

\*Does not include postage used in membership drive, which is included in the line item for postage.

\*\*\$3,000 certificate of deposit purchased. See note (\*) of "Statement of Income".



## THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

## MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT

As per our February 14 report, the Membership Committee has undertaken a 13-pronged effort to recruit members for the 1975-76 year. Twelve of these are substantially completed, and the 13th will end with the Tucson convention. There are 1,854 members in good standing and another 471 not in good standing.

A breakdown of new members is presented in Table 1: ASC Membership Growth by Year. The 1975 and 1976 data are from November 1 to October 31; the pre-1975 data are for the calendar year. One notes that membership has been increasing yearly and that in the last two years has increased by over 172 percent.

In order to understand more fully the patterns of membership, we have provided you with data on the Total Paid Membership for that period of time for which detailed records are available (Table 2) and on New Members by Month (Table 3). The patterns are rather evident. The largest number of members pay their dues shortly after the February invoicing date, and new membership tends to increase in response to the level of solicitation and invitation effort, although there is a "lag" effect. See Table 3. The "rate of return" to November 1 (defined as the number of new members ÷ total letters) was 13.6 percent.

TABLE 1  
ASC MEMBERSHIP GROWTH BY YEAR

Year	New Members	% of 1976 Total	cf	% Gain
to 1970	201	8.2	201	-----%
1971	29	1.2	230	14.4
1972	186	7.6	416	80.9
1973	160	6.6	576	38.5
1974 "	320	13.1	896	55.6
1975	659	27.0	1555	73.5
1976*	886	36.3	2441	63.7
TOTALS	2441	100.0		

\*To November 1.

TABLE 2

TOTAL PAID MEMBERSHIPS: 1974-1976  
(By Membership Type and Month)

	1974			1975			1976		
	<u>Active</u>	<u>Student</u>	<u>(cf)</u>	<u>Active</u>	<u>Student</u>	<u>(cf)</u>	<u>Active</u>	<u>Student</u>	<u>(cf)</u>
January				18	7	(25)	20	17	(37)
February				100	23	(148)	302	95	(434)
March				256	51	(455)	207	57	(698)
April				96	25	(576)	155	34	(887)
May				70	18	(664)	98	24	(1009)
June				39	6	(709)	88	35	(1132)
July				70	14	(793)	59	28	(1219)
August				48	16	(857)	124	30	(1373)
September				32	18	(907)	94	45	(1512)
October				199	97	(1203)	66	30	(1608)
November	14	7	(21)	40	35	(1298)			
December	23	7	(51)	54	53	(1385)			
TOTALS	37	14		1022	363		1213	395	

TABLE 3  
NEW MEMBERS BY LEVEL OF SOLICITATION\*  
1975-76

Month	Number of Invitations	New Members		
		Active	Student	Total
November		83	98	181
December		20	13	33
January		20	21	41
February	421	26	15	41
March	1249	51	15	66
April	357	32	17	49
May	234	33	17	50
June	922	57	30	87
July	1069	47	26	73
August	2274	62	19	81
September		60	39	99
October		57	28	85
TOTALS	6526	548	338	886




There remain 471 former members who are not in good standing; of these, 302 are from 1975 and 169 are from 1974 or before. Each person in this category has been thrice invoiced.

To The Future

It is recommended that continued high priority be given to the membership drive during 1976-77; that a new committee be reconstituted; that the Executive Board offer suggestions for further membership expansion; and that consideration be given to an administrative mechanism allowing members not in good standing for several years to be dropped from the rolls.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE



Harry E. Allen, Chairman

State/National Representatives of  
Membership Committee

Stanley Brodsky - Alabama  
John E. Angell - Alaska  
G. Thomas Gitchoff - California  
Robert Murillo - Connecticut  
Frank R. Scarpitti - Delaware  
David M. Petersen - Georgia  
Elmer H. Johnson - Illinois  
Ronald L. Akers - Iowa  
J. Robert Lilly - Kentucky  
Archie D. Parker, Jr. - Louisiana  
James Gallagher - Maine  
W. William Minor - Maryland  
Caylon L. Kuchel - Nebraska  
Don M. Cottfredson - New Jersey  
Santos Quintann - New Mexico  
Gary R. Perlstein - Oregon  
William H. Parsonage - Pennsylvania  
Phillip R. Kunz - Utah

William R. Throckmorton, Sr. - Virginia  
Lois DeFleur Nelson - Washington  
Richard D. Knudten - Wisconsin  
Dennis Challinger - Australia  
Fernando Whitaker Da Cunha - Brazil  
Hans J. Kerner - West Germany  
Josine Junger-Tas - Holland  
J. J. Panakal - India  
Said Hekmat - Iran

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE  
NEWARK, DELAWARE  
19711

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
PHONE: 302-738-1236

October 12, 1976

Professor Harry E. Allen  
Department of Sociology  
The Ohio State University  
1314 Kinnear Road, Suite 214  
Columbus, OH 43212

Dear Harry:

The following represents the consensus of the members of the Publication Committee regarding the proposed roles and functions of the Committee:

1. The Committee should have overall responsibility for the development of policies and negotiation of contracts for all publications of the Society, including Criminology, The Criminologist, all publications developed as proceedings of the annual meeting, and any other publications the Society may wish to publish.
2. The Committee should be composed of five persons, all of whom should serve for three-year terms. These persons should be appointed by the President, with approval of the Board. The Chairperson's term of office should be three years. The terms of office should be overlapping, so that every year one or two new persons will be nominated to serve.
3. The Committee should have the power to recommend for appointment the editors of all publications. These appointments should be made in consultation with the various editors, but approved by the Board. The terms of office for such positions should coincide with the appointment of the editors. Editors' terms should be three years.
4. All contracts to be signed by the Society for any publication should be negotiated by the Publications Committee and recommendations for such should be submitted to the Board for review and approval. Any changes in contracts recommended by editors should be submitted to the Committee for review and action.

page 2.  
October 12, 1976

5. All appointments to editorships for all annual meeting proceedings should be made in consultation with the Program Chairpersons. Volume editors should be drawn from session chairpersons, and topic areas and papers for volumes should be based on their appropriateness. In addition, each Program Chairperson should have the right to edit one and only one volume; the current President should have the right to edit one volume; and the Chairperson of the Publications Committee should serve as overall series editor. All royalties from the sales of proceedings volumes should become the property of the Society.
6. Reports of all editors of the various publications should be submitted to the Publications Committee in time for each Board meeting. The Chairperson of the Committee should summarize all activities and, additionally, submit an annual report to the membership.
7. The Committee will have the right to establish all policies. The Board would have the right to overrule the Chairperson only upon significant conflict.
8. Budgets for various publications would be submitted to the Committee for review. The Committee will then submit a total publications budget to the Finance Committee for consideration.

I would appreciate if you would distribute this information to all members of the Board, as well as place it on the agenda for the Thursday (November 4) A.M. meeting of the Board.

See you in Tucson.

Regards,



James A. Inciardi  
Director  
Division of Criminal Justice

cc: Gilbert Geis  
William Amos