

ASC Executive Board Minutes

March 16, 1984

Fairmont Hotel - New Orleans, Louisiana

Members Present: Albert J. Reiss, Jr. Marc Riedel
William Chambliss Frank Scarpitti
Albert Cohen Charles W. Thomas
James Inciardi Austin T. Turk
Malcolm W. Klein Diane Vaughan
J. Robert Lilly Joseph G. Weiss
Harold E. Pepinsky Margaret A. Zahn

President Albert J. Reiss, Jr. called the meeting of the ASC Executive Board to order at 9:00 a.m. on March 16, 1984.

Minutes of the November 9, and November 12, 1983 Executive Board were approved as distributed. Minutes of the November 12, 1983 ASC Business Meeting were approved as distributed.

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT (Attachment #1)

Austin Turk, Chair of the ASC Publications Committee, reported that the Committee met March 10, 1984, in Washington, DC regarding the publishing future of the journal. The Committee discussion was focussed on a draft document that had been given to them listing a number of points for consideration in securing bids for the journal. Reports submitted by Charles Thomas and Joseph Weiss were very helpful in the Committee's deliberations. Copies of the recommendations were distributed to the Board for their approval (Attachment #1).

Turk reported that the Committee considered the usual five-year period for the contract but felt there should be a connection between the contract periods and the office of the editor of CRIMINOLOGY which is three years. The Committee recommended a six year contract and the consideration for a larger and better journal. The Committee also suggested large type as in the Law and Society Review which would add 15 more manuscript pages to have the same amount that we presently have. It would add only the equivalent of one article, going from 240 pages with additional lines and making it 300 pages for the first two years and 340 pages for the next four years. After the first year include two special issues involving special guest editors who would formulate those issues in a variety of ways including organizing sessions at the meeting--soliciting papers over a two year period.

The Committee also suggested abandoning the Research Progress Series as such, changing the idea of special focus publishing so that most of the papers are carried in the journal and if there are other burning hot topics and projects which the Publications Committee of the future feels something special should be done about (research commissioned, law and corrections, obtaining research grants), there would be a blanket call for papers in this contract the publisher undertakes to publish one or two of these per year assuming that the final quality, etc. is mutually acceptable to the Society and the publisher. The Committee also recommended against series of publications with the official premature of the Society and that the publisher would be involved in consulting with the Publications Committee on which the Committee proposes that the editor of CRIMINOLOGY would be a member as well as any upcoming successor. It also recommended that the journal project directors, authors, editors be clearly established and agreed to so that we don't anticipate any difficulty with the publisher publishing work under the blanket group in the contract. The Committee felt that to permit anything like the present standardizing of publication appearance, size, quality of paper would detract from any future distinct series that comes out.

Whenever such an idea is developed through the Publications Committee that the particular work should be negotiated ad hoc between the Society and the publisher.

Harold Pepinsky reminded the Board that three years ago there was a vote whether to have a special issue and the Board took the position that it wasn't going to get in the business of special issues--too controversial. It would be a change in policy to do special issues. Turk noted that special issues and a special volume would be kept separate.

In reviewing the draft recommendations of the Committee, the Board agreed to leave Items 3 (Quality), 4 (Advertising), (9) Call for Bids, and (10) Proofs to the decision of the Publications Committee.

A motion was made by Charles Thomas and seconded by William Chambliss to approve Item 6-a-b-c: "The Publications Committee may in consultation with the Publisher (and with Council approval) commission intellectually stimulating works of major importance. Each such work will be independently contracted, with at least 50 percent of royalties payable to the ASC and the remainder to be equitably distributed among the editors and/or authors of such works. The Publisher will agree in principle to publish an average of two such distinguished works per annum, provided that the Society and the Publisher find that the standards of quality have been achieved. Such works may cover the entire range of intellectual activity in criminology. ASC members will be entitled to significantly reduced rates as agreed for such occasional publications." Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by William Chambliss to amend Item #11 to: "No more than 10 percent of the Associate Editors should be from the same institution. All Associate Editors should be ASC members and efforts should be made to increase the number of women, minorities and schools outside the United State. to achieve disciplinary diversity. Favor: 7; Opposed: 6. Motion carried.

An amendment to the motion was made by Carl Klockars to change the word "should" to "must" be ASC members. Favor: 12; Opposed: 1. Motion carried.

A motion was made by Harold Pepinsky and seconded by William Chambliss that the institutional affiliation be listed for all journal editorial board members. Motion carried unanimously.

Austin Turk summarized: (1) question of expansion in the quality of the journal in every dimension is crucial. Make a break with the past. Increase the variety, quality of the journal, the notion of risk taking strategy is a notion that the Committee was unanimously for. We should go out and face the competition. Generate the business and meet the competition and do in the criminal justice competition. The action is with criminology. Expanding overseas criminology. Flexibility it seems to me has more to do with the expansion of size of issue rather than number of issues. Important to get a decision about pages and number of issues in some combination. Definitely more room for publishing variety of things. The past and current editor seem to feel that ok you can do more with a single issue if you have more pages. Not to jump into more issues for at least the next three years. Combine idea of more pages without the whole new publishing outlook. Bigger issues, much more of what gets published, there is nothing eternal about the way special issues have been generated in the past. How they are generated in the future, not rushing into print, as part of ongoing decisions emanating from the Publications Committee and would have the editor of the journal as a member of the Committee.

President Reiss summarized: (1) We are all for producing the best, highest quality expanded journal possible. It is a free market in which the competition will drive us and we will drive them. We urged the Publications Committee to move in that direction. (2) We seek that expansion. All questions should be in the context of bringing from the Committee to us as many options as possible. Major issues we have

discussed. Cost of increased number of pages, size, type, etc. He urged the Committee to specify the options, to take that and specify as many options as possible.

Austin Turk stated that it was the Committee's understanding that it was to generate recommendations for preparing the call for bids after discussion with Joe Weiss and the actual work of reviewing the pros and cons of the bids that come in would involve the Steering Committee, possibly the Council, but did not see the Publications Committee as being the decisionmaker. Joseph Weiss noted that the timing is crucial to have something published for the February issue.

President Reiss summarized the two issues left: (1) Special issue question. Here is the volume and the editor saying don't really burden me with special editions. To do it under the Publications Committee selecting and putting them out. (2) Special issue or journal of occasional papers of ASC. You do it sporadically. Cost issue. How is the Society going to pay for it? Suggestions that everybody will receive it free because they are a member. How does it relate to the dues structure? Separate it as that kind of issue. (3) 4-6 issues. Put that as an option. President Reiss suggested that the Board consider the special issue question. Since it is not known what the costs would be, suggested that the Publications Committee bring the Board a specific proposal detailing costs. Harold Pepinsky stated that the journal presently costs \$6 per member -- to cover the additional costs by adding \$5-6 more on to the dues is a possibility.

Charles Thomas moved that we simply do away with the idea of special issues as features of the journal and that the Publications Committee determine what would four or six issues of the journal cost with special issues being handled by the Publications Committee. That the journal not get into the business of publishing special issues as a feature of the journal. Seconded by Malcolm W. Klein.

Albert Cohen spoke against the motion. The question of special issues as part of the journal going to all the membership should hinge on the nature of the topic issue. Question is whether there are topics that are of interest to our membership that are not properly serviced by the organization in four versus six issues per year. Involves a responsibility since emerging or developing issues that our membership needs some material or equivalent to a special issue. Do at least one a year.

William Chambliss moved to table the issue until The Board is provided the costs and come back and deal with all the issues. Seconded by Margaret Zahn. Favor: 6; Opposed: 7. Motion failed.

Charles Thomas noted that if there were a topic of broad relevance that the Publications Committee felt it wanted to come out in print, it could come out like a monograph but not as a volume of the journal. Could be the same format and publisher. Would not go gratis to the membership. Carl Klockars spoke against the idea noting he wanted special issues. Special issues that go to every member. Is the Publications Committee to decide on that and appoint the editor?

Austin Turk spoke against the motion and for special issues. The Committee did not propose extra issues of the journal. Proposed that if and when special deals are worked up, worry about quality of special issues, want them reviewed, no grants of publication to anyone, no particular timetable on it.

Joseph Weiss raised the issue of the number of quality papers and work load. He stated he was not willing to commit himself to any number of issues if he didn't feel he could do it. Special issues--4 or six issues now and again one or more of these issues may be a special issue. Robert Lilly stated he was worried about an author having a paper delayed. Joseph Weiss said he would agree to a fixed number of issues per year of the journal that he would edit. Special issue would be in addition to that.

A substitute motion was made to do away with the special issues as a feature of the journal. Favor: 7; Opposed: 6. Motion passed.

Albert Cohn stated it would be possible to have a volume on a single topic that would not appear under the masthead of the journal and could go to all of the membership.

William Chambliss moved that the Board accept the Publications Committee recommendation as outlined in #5 (excluding A&D) with addendum that the special issues when agreed upon not be issues of the journal, but would go to the general membership with special editors as appointed by the Publications Committee. Seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky. Favor: 4 Opposed 4: Abstained: 5.

#5 becomes the same as #6 with the notion that these publications go to all members of the Society. President Reiss suggested that it be made part of the same contract. Four journals and two special issues.

Roland Chilton requested that the Publications Committee see what this special series would cost. Joseph Weiss stated that he was not sure if there was enough work out there to do six issues and asked to see if he could get four good increased volumes. The fifth issue every year could be a special issue that would be available to give to an editor. Fifth issue would have a different cover and editor. Pick a person for the honorific special issue as editor.

Austin Turk noted that the Board had voted down #5 and that we are talking about asking for bids for four issues of 300 pages per volume year. William Chambliss requested that a per issue cost figure be supplied to the Board on: 1) four issues of the journal plus two issues of something else; 2) four issues; 3) six issues. If we were to do something else what would the per issue cost be if we change the cover. Charles Thomas moved that the Board approve #6-6a. Seconded by William Chambliss. Delete line 1 and capitalize "The." Carl Klockars requested that the Committee inquire about the possibility of footnotes being on the same page.

Austin Turk suggested that the Committee send to any publisher the Council's requests to provide information in writing to the Committee. President Reiss suggested that the Committee look at all of the major journals in the social sciences and send them a request for bid. The Publications Committee will call upon the administrative officer to produce copies of the call for bids and distribute to the social science journals. Austin Turk requested the Board provide the Committee with the names and addresses of any journals they wish to be contacted with a bid request.

President Reiss noted that in most social science journals no more than two members on the editorial board are from the same institution. Joseph Weiss reported that he had two deputy editors in residence: George Bridges and Bob Cutchfield of the University of Washington. He reported that a number of the associate editors were from the University of Washington; three from Canada, Great Britain and two senior assistant editors and assistant editors, many of which are graduate students at the university. Malcolm Klein stated that Weiss must make a proposal to the Board for his Editorial staff. Margaret Zahn noted that it might be useful to have the International and Women's Division represented on the editorial board as assistant editors. Charles Thomas reported that one associate editor and one referee editor has to work closely with the associate editor. Thomas noted that you choose assistant editors who can do the job and whether they are a member of ASC or not is not relevant. Turk replied that CRIMINOLOGY is the official journal of the Society and ought to be controlled by members of the Society in every respect. Having said that, it was noted by some people on the Committee that there were associate editors who were not members. It was suggested that this be changed in the future.

William Chambliss commended the Publications Committee for an excellent job. President Reiss stated that the Board was deeply indebted and appreciative of the remarkable job the Publications Committee did in submitting their report to the Board.

THE CRIMINOLOGIST REPORT

Reiss commented on the letter he had received from John Kramer, editor of THE CRIMINOLOGIST requesting an expansion in size of the newsletter to 12 pages of 8-1/2x11 inches. This increase in size would increase printing costs approximately \$2,010.00 per year for the newsletter. Kramer is hopeful that a good proportion of this increase could be absorbed by advertisements in the newsletter.

Pepinsky stated he was impressed with Kramer's willingness to work on the newsletter and moved that the Board accept his proposal to spend up to \$3,000 more to expand the newsletter. Seconded by Albert Cohen. Favor: 12; Abstained: 1. Motion carried.

It was reported that some members had requested that the publications of the Society be sent to them by first class or airmail postage in order to receive the publications in a more timely fashion. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by James Inciardi that ASC members be given the option of paying for the extra postage fees for first class or airmail postage. Favor: 11. Motion carried.

PAYMENT OF TRAVEL COSTS FOR PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE AND AAAS REPRESENTATIVE TO ONE ANNUAL MEETING

Reiss inquired if there was any objection to the Society paying the travel expenses of the ASC Publications Committee for one meeting during the course of the year between the annual meeting, if necessary. After some discussion, the Board approved payment of travel expenses for the Publications Committee.

President Reiss reported that ASC is an affiliated representative of AAAS which entitles ASC to one representative to arrange a session at their annual meeting if we so desire. Reiss noted that the AAAS representative serves a three year term. Edith Flynn's term expires in June and will be assumed by Joe Scott who has arranged a program session at the annual meetings of AAAS on one topic of criminology. Reiss noted that for two of the years under Flynn's appointment, there has been publication of papers and all travel costs to attend the AAAS meetings have been assumed by Flynn.

A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky that the Society pay the domestic travel cost for the AAAS representative on a continuing basis. Seconded by Albert Cohen. Favor 13. Motion carried unanimously.

1984 PROGRAM COMMITTEE REPORT

Marc Riedel, 1984 Program Chair, reported that 115 sessions are planned at the present time, and it is anticipated that the final program will end up with 120-160 sessions. Deadline for submitting papers is April 1. Four plenary sessions are planned including a keynote session on the theme of the meeting. One plenary will deal with the history of the Society and how it has changed. Call for Papers were included in the meeting packets of ACJS at their March meeting. The Local Arrangements Committee has been working and will prepare a brief summary for the next issue of the newsletter. They are arranging for tours of the criminal justice centers and hopefully Anderson Publishing Co. will provide a wine and cheese party. They are also looking into arrangements for child care services for the meeting, "Bring Along the Children," which has been recommended by the Cincinnati Convention Bureau. Costs will vary from \$25-30 per day with activities planned for pre- and school-age children. Riedel reported that he has been negotiating with two travel planning organizations to secure reduced airfare rates for ASC. They offer one free ticket for every 50 purchased. Reiss noted that there must be a system for choosing who will receive the free airline tickets available and complimentary rooms at the hotel.

Pepinsky noted that the decision about which carrier to use and any income that is

derived from that should be made by the president and treasurer. Chilton noted that the complimentary rooms in the past have been done on a very ad hoc basis and should be decided by the president and treasurer upon the recommendation of the program chair.

A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by James Inciardi to move that the "perks" be decided by the president and treasurer of the Society upon the recommendation of the program chair and will report annually to the Executive Board on who has received these "perks." Motion carried unanimously.

Austin Turk suggested that the president, treasurer and the program chair decide how to allocate the specific "perks" with regards to travel service, air carrier, hotel, so as to minimize cost to the association. The president should receive a suite that the hotel provides. President Reiss suggested that the secretary, treasurer and the program chair be considered.

Frank Scarpitti inquired if there would be addresses by the award winners this year at the meeting. President Reiss stated he was presently considering having several people talk on the history of the organization at the banquet. Riedel reported that the sessions would be starting at 8:00 a.m. and ending at 6:00 p.m. each day. No sessions will be scheduled in the evenings, and the banquet will be scheduled on Friday evening.

Rolnd Chilton noted that if any rebate has been scheduled with the hotel, it should be clearly explained to the membership in advance of the meeting. Marc Riedel reported that there would not be any rebates from the hotel. The Society is to receive one complimentary room for every 50 rooms registered.

CONSTITUTION & BY-LAWS COMMITTEE REPORT

Malcolm W. Klein, chair of the Constitution & By-Laws Committee reported that his Committee met in New Orleans to go over the Constitution and By-Laws and that a draft will be prepared for the Committee's consideration and a draft for the Board members before the November meeting. Three basic principals involved: (1) Bring about a better separation of the Constitution and By-Laws; (2) Decided that the Commission was a revision group, not an overhaul group, and (3) the Committee went for democratization with safeguards. The Committee was not able to provide a written report to the Board but went through the Constitution with the Board noting the revisions they are suggesting. A proposed revised Constitution will be available at the November Board meeting for the Board's consideration.

Malcolm Klein reported that motion to delete Section III-A, 3A from the Constitution which provides for appointment of Executive Counselors by the President for one year terms of office will go to the membership with the ballot for voting.

Carl Klockars moved that there be a Nominating Committee created by the Board that would bring back its recommendations for two places on the ballot of the Board to review. The Executive Board has to approve the slate of candidates from the Nominations Committee. This slate is than announced to the membeship letting the membership nominate a candidate if that person receives 35 nominations or 2-3% he/she could be placed on the ballot. Favor: 9 Opposed 1. Motion carried.

Issues Raised:

1) Nowhere in the Constitution or By-Laws is the rule in existence of the two meeting approval of changes to the Constitution. Any amendment has to be ruled on in two separate board meetings. Malcolm Klein asked if the Board wanted to build that in or remain as a non existent fiction? Consensus of the Board was to build it into the Constitution.

- 2) Election and time problems (By-Laws).
- 3) Executive Committee of the Executive Board to deal with interim issues --primarily monetary. Klein inquired if the Board wanted this item built into the Constitution: Spell out the powers of the president. Invest the President with executive functions (in between meetings of the Executive Board) with the advice and counsel of the Executive Board.
- 4) How to present changes to the membership--all or none, beking it down as reasonably as possible.
- 5) The President and the Treasurer--nowhere is it stated that two signatures are required on disbursements of \$500 or more. (By-Laws).
- 6) Publications Committee: It was suggested that matters dealing with the Publications Committee such as editors length of service, publishers, publication arrangements as well as responsibilities and overall publishing activities be placed in the By-Laws. The chair shall be the Vice President of the Society with 4-6 additional members with staggered two year terms. Appointments shall be made by the president subject to the ratification by the Board. Add the editor as ex-officio (non-voting) member of the committee.
It was also suggested that the Vice President-Elect be made a member of the Publications Committee. It was also suggested that in the years that there is an editor-elect of the journal that he/she be made an ex-officio member of the Committee.

A motion was made by Carl Klockars and seconded by Robert Lilly that the ASC newsletter, THE CRIMINOLOGIST, be officially recognized as a publication of the Society in the By-Laws under the Publications heading. Favor: 4 Opposed: 9. Motion defeated.

Turk noted that the newsletter was a service function and a very different matter than the journal which is a scholarly publication. Suggested that the matter of the newsletter be left directly with the Board to deal with the editor and that it not be made a part of the function of the Publications Committee.

A motion was made by Margaret Zahn and seconded by Roland Chilton that the editor of the newsletter be made a member of the Publications Committee. Favor: 2 Opposed: 11. Motion defeated.

Meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

Saturday, March 17

President Reiss reconvened the Executive Board of The American Society of Criminology to order at 8:00 a.m. on Saturday, March 17, 1984.

1985 SLATE OF OFFICERS

Roland Chilton reported that he had contacted the slate of candidates provided by the Nominating Committee. Both Alfred Blumstein and Lloyd Ohlin agreed to have their names placed on the ballot for President-Elect. Malcolm W. Klein and Joan Petesilia agreed to have their names placed on the ballot for Vice President-Elect. For Executive Counselors, the slate will be: Delbert Elliott, Joan McCord, Susan Martin, and John Hagan.

Ballots will be sent to the Executive Secretary in Tempe, Arizona. Chilton will assemble three other ASC members as a ballot counting committee and will announce the results as soon as possible.

REDUCED FEES FOR NON-PROFIT JOURNALS

The motion by Matthew Yeager from the Denver Annual Business Meeting seeking exemption of the \$100.00 exhibit fee at the ASC Book Exhibit for the not-for-profit publication, Crime and Social Justice, which was amended by Elmer Johnson to include other not-for-profit publications was brought before the Board for consideration.

William Chambliss noted it was to the Society's advantage to exhibit these publications as many members are not aware of new journals being published. A motion was made by William Chambliss and seconded by Margaret Zahn to waive the exhibit fee for not-for-profit journals and that the President or some officer designated by the President determine what constitutes not-for-profit literature. Harve Horowitz would not receive a percentage on this type of exhibit, contractually specifying that he would not receive a percentage. Malcolm Klein noted that there will be costs involved in setting up space for the exhibitor. Distinguish between journals and other kinds of materials. Articles of Incorporation could be asked for. Charles Thomas stated that arrangements could be made for these types of publications to be set up in some room separate and distinct from the room in which the book exhibit is held. President Reiss stated that any of the past exhibits and not-for-profit journals could be contacted to inform them they would have to pay for their space.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT

President Reiss reported he would write a letter to Chris Eskridge, chair of the ASC Membership Committee thanking him on behalf of the Executive Board for the continuing good work he does for the Society and for the great contribution he has made.

SITE SELECTION COMMITTEE REPORT

President Reiss asked the Board if they wanted to commit the Society to revoking its agreement with the Town and Country Hotel in San Diego for its 1985 meeting, noting that the contract states there is an agreement on the price of the rooms even though the rate will not be known until 12-13 months before the meeting. He noted it was conceivable that they may reduce their rates if ASC tries to break their contract. Location is five miles away from San Diego in the convention center and is not optimal to seeing other sites in the area. If the Board decides against San Diego for 1985 there is the question of alternate sites. Option 1: New Orleans or Las Vegas (rooms in Las Vegas can be arranged for approximately \$45). Option 2: Could possibly get rooms in Washington, DC at \$65 on particular days of the week in November.

President Reiss reported that Sarah had contacted a lawyer in Columbus who said that the Town & Country Hotel contract was indeed a contract and if ASC tried to break the contract, ASC could possibly be held responsible for the cost of 400 rooms at \$80.00 per room.

A motion was made by William Chambliss and seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky that the Society try to be released from the contract at the Town and Country Hotel in San Diego for the 1985 annual meeting. Motion carried. President Reiss will inform the Town and Country of the Board's decision.

Robert Lilly reported that he and President Reiss had visited five hotels while in New Orleans for possible 1985 dates. Two problems encountered with the Fairmont Hotel are the room rates and only dates available were November 20-23, shifting the meeting to a Sunday to Wednesday schedule. Room rates were quoted of \$70 for a single with addition of 10% tax, or \$77.00. The Fairmont has excellent meeting facilities. Rates would not necessarily change for 1986. The Sheraton has the dates of November 5-9 open for 1985 with room rates of \$77.95 plus 10% tax. Marriott quoted the probability of \$70.00 plus 10% tax and possibly \$65.00. November 6-9 and 13-17 were available in

1985; November 5-8 in 1986. The single rate for 1986 would be \$79.00 plus 10% tax. 1985 dates available at the Hilton Hotel are November 6-9 and November 13-16; 1986 dates are November 5-8. No prices were available, but would probably be 20% off rack rates. \$85.00 is their current rate for a single. Talking roughly \$70.00 plus 10% and both Reiss and Lilly felt the Hilton was the best facility. The Hyatt Hotel rates in 1985 could be \$60.00. Advantages of the Hyatt are low room rates and low airfares, but least attractive hotel for meeting space.

Harold E. Pepinsky suggested Las Vegas as a perfect city regarding the West Coast. Chambliss suggested Reno as an alternate site. Austin Turk suggested Washington, DC for 1985 noting that the Capital Hilton has undergone extensive renovation. Harold E. Pepinsky moved that the Executive Committee be empowered to explore and choose between Las Vegas and Chicago. Margaret Zahn suggested that Atlanta or Miami be considered. The Board voted on the following cities: Las Vegas - 4; Chicago - 7; Washington, DC - 8; Atlanta - 11; New Orleans - 8; Montreal - 8; Reno - 7; Boston - 11.

Harold E. Pepinsky noted that a major air hub must be a consideration in electing the site. Austin Turk proposed that Washington, DC be ranked #1 for 1985.

The matter will be brought before the Executive Board at its November meeting for a final decision.

TREASURER'S REPORT

Treasurer Robert Lilly reported that the Sage royalty figures for 1983 for the journal would be \$8,750.00. Deficits that may occur can be attributed to expenses incurred in the Executive Board meeting and special meetings for the Constitution and Publications Committees that had not been previously budgeted.

Harold E. Pepinsky reported that the Ad Hoc Committee on Treasury Balance, Investment, and Expenditures had met and after looking over the figures of the Society had come to the consensus that the Council should not count on any surplus funds for distribution at this time. Previous expenses picked up by Ohio State University in the past may not be assumed in the future. Also there has been the vote to increase the cost of changing the format of THE CRIMINOLOGIST which had not been previously budgeted. Executive Board and special meeting expenses will be in excess of figures budgeted. If the attendance is not what the committee has projected for the Cincinnati meeting, it is possible that the Society could have a deficit of \$13,000. A budget deficit of \$8,000 could be anticipated for the year. There is a cushion for a deficit for next year, but suggested that the Board wait until next November to see if any increase in dues would be needed.

President Reiss thanked the committee for their report and noted that the Board would have to keep in mind that the Finance Committee will have to look into the possibility of a dues increase for the year after next, but at the present time the Society should be able to carry on without a dues increase for the next fiscal year.

FELLOWS REPORT

Copies of the Fellows Committee report prepared by the chair, Jack Gibbs, were distributed. The Committee recommended that the sole nominee for a Fellow award be given to James F. Short, Jr. A motion was made by Carl Klockars and seconded by Roland Chilton to approve the nomination of James F. Short, Jr. as a Fellow of the Society. Motion carried unanimously.

William Chambliss and Roland Chilton were asked to leave the meeting as their names appeared on the list of names suggested by members of the Fellows Committee.

Austin Turk noted that in terms of visibility and contributions that William Chambliss should be designated a Fellow as well as Roland Chilton in terms of his service and significant publications. A motion was made by Austin Turk and seconded by Charles Thomas to name William Chambliss and Roland Chilton as Fellows of the Society. Favor: 5; Opposed: 6. Motion failed. Frank Scarpitti noted that there was an informal agreement that the Society should exclude people that served on the Board from becoming Fellows or receiving awards.

Austin Turk commented that the Committee was not told that they could not consider anyone serving on the Board from becoming a Fellow of the Society. In this particular instance given no such restraint on the Committee, that the Board treat this immediate problem as an ad hoc problem and deal with it within the framework of the list we have and set a policy for the future which will be a directive to the meeting. There are a number of the people serving on the Board that are definitely eligible to be named Fellows of the Society. Take care of our housekeeping with regard to this year's problem and set a policy for the future that can be as open and restricted as we like. Malcolm Klein noted that he felt as strongly on opposite points. He did not like the idea of the board nominating board members policy. Pepinsky suggested that the Board be conservative about selecting Fellows and restrict ourselves by going with James Short only this year. Malcolm Klein moved that members of the Board not be considered for the Fellows award. President Reiss suggested that the matter be placed on the November meeting Board agenda for further consideration.

A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by James Inciardi that the Board continue the past practice that members of the Board not be considered for awards of the Society. Favor: 3; Opposed: 9. Motion failed.

A motion was made by Albert Cohen and seconded by Margaret Zahn that ASC committees that make recommendations for awards be told that they may consider anybody for nomination including members of the Executive Board for awards but that the ASC Board cannot initiate any such nominations. Favor: 11; Opposed: 0. Motion carried unanimously. Under this procedure anyone is eligible for any award of the Society as long as they are nominated by the committee. Chambliss and Chilton were asked to return to the meeting.

President Reiss noted that in the future the Board hopes to have a set policy on disenfranchising any member of this Board. President Reiss noted that the Board would entertain additional nominations for Fellows either from the Committee's list or from the Board. Carl Klockars nominated David Greenberg for a Fellow award; Seconded by Albert Cohen. Malcolm Klein nominated Peter Rossi for a Fellow award; seconded by Austin Turk; Malcolm Klein nominated Gerald Skolnick for a Fellow award; seconded by Margaret Zahn. William Chambliss nominated Hans Toch; seconded by Charles Thomas. A motion to close the nominations was made by Charles Thomas; seconded by Austin Turk. Carl Klockars called for a vote by show of hands; seconded by Austin Turk. Each nomination to be voted on separately.

David Greenberg - Favor 3, Opposed 10
Peter Rossi - Favor 2, Opposed 12
Jerome Skolnick - Favor 4, Opposed 9
Hans Toch - Favor, 2, Opposed 11.

Harold E. Pepinsky suggested that Jim Short be the only Fellow for this year. Roland Chilton noted it would make it a distinguished honor and seconded the motion for the single award.

President Reiss suggested that the President-Elect could nominate his committee appointments at the mid-winter Board meeting for the Board's approval, so that the committees could begin their work at the November annual meeting and suggested this as

a recommendation to the next incoming president.

AWARDS COMMITTEE REPORT

Copies of the Awards Committee Report were distributed. The Committee's recommendations for the 1984 awards were these:

Edwin Sutherland Award - Alfred Blumstein
Sellin-Glueck Award - David Farrington
August Vollmer Award - Jerome G. Miller and Benjamin Ward
Herbert Bloch Award - No recommendation

The Committee also recommended that Michael J. Hindelang be given some special posthumous recognition by the Society, if such recognition has not already been made.

A motion was made by Charles Thomas that the Board not ratify the recommendation of Alfred Blumstein for the Edwin Sutherland Award. Motion substituted with a motion by Harold E. Pepinsky to move the acceptance of Alfred Blumstein for the Edwin Sutherland Award; seconded by James Inciardi. Favor, 5; Opposed, 9. Motion failed.

A motion was made by James Inciardi and seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky to award the Edwin Sutherland Award to Richard Quinney. Favor, 9; Opposed, 4; Abstained, 1. Motion carried.

Malcolm Klein stated that once again the Board was overruling the process by an ASC committee that brought an appropriate nominee. James Inciardi noted that Richard Quinney's name had come up for more than a decade and on many occasions by a very narrow margin had not been selected. President Reiss stated that the President-Elect would present the award to Quinney on behalf of the Society and not the President.

A motion was made by Malcolm Klein and seconded by Diane Vaughan to accept the nomination of David Farrington for the Sellin-Glueck Award. Favor, 5; Opposed, 4, Abstained, 3. Motion carried.

A motion was made by Charles Thomas and seconded by Robert Lilly to accept the nomination of Jerome Miller as the sole winner of the August Vollmer award. Motion later withdrawn.

A motion was made to give the August Vollmer award to Benjamin Ward; seconded by James Inciardi. Favor, 7; Opposed, 5. Motion passed.

A motion was made by Albert Cohen to give the August Vollmer Award to Jerome Miller; seconded by William Chambliss. Favor, 4; Opposed 7. Motion defeated.

A motion was made by William Chambliss and seconded by James Inciardi to accept the recommendation of the Awards Committee of not awarding the Herbert Bloch award this year. Favor, 11; Opposed, 0. Motion carried unanimously.

BOOK BROCHURES OF ASC MEMBERS IN ASC MAILINGS

President Reiss reported that an oral contract arrangement had been made between Sarah Hall and Freda Adler and Francis Cullen to include their book flyers in an ASC mailing in exchange for payment of a portion of the postage costs to the Society. President Reiss noted that it is not clear that Sarah has the authority to do this. Should the Board decide that they do not wish to do this in the future, as a matter of policy we should honor the oral agreement with Adler and Cullen with a statement of the Society's new policy.

Carl Klockars said he personally disapproved of advertising in the mailings. A reasonable compromise is to take care of whatever financial loss this contract entered in would involve. A motion was made by Carl Klockars that the Society's policy be not to have any advertising going out with regular mailings except for publications for which the Board has approved advertising--journal, newsletter and annual meeting program. Favor: 13. Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the mailing of Freda Adler's flyer in a plain white envelope with the cost of postage to be absorbed by the Society. Favor: 9. Motion carried.

The Board agreed that since the flyer dealing with Francis Cullen's book had other books by various authors listed, our oral contract should be considered voided because the brochure is not appropriate as it was the Society's understanding that only a single author (Cullen) would be listed. A letter should also be included noting that the Board has passed a resolution that in the future only advertising may be included in the appropriate Society publications.

CONSORTIUM OF SOCIAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATIONS

President Reiss reported that he had received a letter from Roberta Balstad Miller, Executive Director of the Consortium of Social Science Associations inviting the Society to become a member of the Consortium and urged the Board's favorable consideration in this matter.

A motion was made by Margaret Zahn and seconded by Malcolm W. Klein to become an Affiliate member of the Consortium at the minimum fee of \$500.00. Motion passed.

TICKET RECYCLING PROGRAM RECOMMENDATION OF THE DIVISION ON WOMEN AND CRIME

President Reiss reported that the Division on Women and Crime would like to suggest and endorse that at the annual meeting a ticket recycling program be established for the banquet enabling student registrants to attend. They would advertise in the program and at the registration desk that those who are paid registrants and who will not be attending the banquet could return their banquet tickets to the registration desk by a specified date and time and that the tickets would be recycled to students who have paid registration for the convention and who otherwise would not be able to attend the banquet. Tickets would be distributed on a first come-first serve basis.

Margaret Zahn noted that tickets are now informally recycled and this does not involve any loss of income to the Society. After a brief discussion, the Board agreed that there would not be a formal recycling program established, under the auspices of the Division on Women and Crime.

INTERNATIONAL DIVISION CONSTITUTION

President Reiss informed the Board that he still had not heard from the Division on International Criminology regarding the revision of their constitution to conform to the constitution of the Division on Women and Crime. Their provisional constitution will expire in November. President Reiss requested authority from the Board to write the chair of the International Division informing them their provisional constitution would expire in November and unless a revised constitution is received by the November Board meeting, they would be removed as a division of the Society.

A motion was made by Malcolm W. Klein and seconded by James Inciardi to give President Reiss authority to contact the International Division informing them that their

provisional constitution will expire in November and unless a revised constitution is received by the November Board meeting, they will be removed as a division of the Society. Favor: 9. Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS

President Reiss informed the Board that the International Division had approached the Billogia Institute in Italy to co-sponsor a conference and were soliciting funds on behalf of their division without the approval of the ASC Executive Board. As stipulated in the ASC Constitution, they do not have the authority to do this and Reiss will write to their chair so informing her.

CONTRACT FOR ASC ADVERTISING AND EXHIBITS REPRESENTATIVE

Robert Lilly informed the Board that Harve Horowitz's contact will be expiring in December 1984. After a brief discussion, a motion was made by Austin Turk and seconded by Margaret Zahn that Harve Horowitz will be advised that a bidding process will be open for the ASC Book Exhibit and Advertising services. Motion carried unanimously.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 12:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Roland Chilton
Executive Secretary